Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 238-33
Serial Nos. ~~1-6~~
1-6

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for August-34

SUBJECT.

Transfer of resumed Inam lands of defunct institutions

to the Mus Comm
Rev Comm
Director of Land Records

8 6

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No 238-10 P dt 8-34.

Directs that necessary action be taken by the Director of Land Records in the matter of transfers in the Settlement

Estimate Inam lands to be deferred pending the [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 238 of 1933.

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
1	Letter No [illegible] dated [illegible] from the Municipal Commissioner Requests information [illegible] transferring the resumed inam lands of the defunct Jagirdar Nawab of Chamarajanagar [illegible] in Belakere TK for the benefit of Sri Siddeshwaraswami temple at the place over which point there has been a difference of opinion between the M.C and Lingayat Dayadi
	The references quoted in the above correspondence are fully flagged and the letter of the M.C under disposal explains the situation clearly. If approved the Lingayat Inspt will be addressed to give effect to the order of the M.C (issued at P. 8 of 8.5.33) on the grounds mentioned in his letter of the 29.8.1933 to the ~~Collector~~ former officer.
J K A	2) The order of 8th May 33 (Flag J) should have been communicated to the Inspt. Rev. Survey & Inam Settlements. It forms as though ~~there~~ because this has not been done — and there was reason for it the R.C. having been Inspt at the time — he ~~has~~ taken objection. If approved this order will be communicated to the Survey & Inam Inspt and he will be ~~asked to~~ assessed as at A.
	20/11 24
	It has been communicated to all Dy of Dept SPR

Member of Council, 30 NOV. No. 2224

Secy) 2nd Mr)

3

24/11/33

Mr 29/11

29/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Transfer of —
Resources from banks of defunct Hungarian Institutions

File No. 2-38 of 1933	General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

5) The order has probably been communicated. What the S.S. wants is not quite clear. He seems to require Govt order every time. He may be asked to report clearly what the point raised by him is

Sd
3/12
4/12

6) If approved this will be sent to the Secretary Support unofficially for furnishing the information required.

7 not necessary
9/12

5/12

Put up a draft letter to the S.S.
9/12

5/12

12/12

9) A draft to the S.S. is put up.

10) Done
16/12

12/12
15

TYPING SECTION
1933
& REV. SEC.

849 dated 20. 12. 1933
The Secretary
Rel Secretary & Settlement

2

Requests him to intimate whether clearly what the point raised by him is

21/12

Serial No.

3

Letter No 154/35-4 dated 6-[illegible]
from the [illegible] Govt [illegible]
furnishes the information called for
above.

H

11) The Superintendent Revenue Survey states that an Inam which was "resumed" under orders of Govt in January 1931, and thus became extinct, has been revived by the Munsiff Commissioner in 1933 and granted to a Non-Munsiff Institution, that his action amounts to the creation of a New Inam and its grant to a Non-Munsiff Institution, and that as this appears to be ultra vires, clear orders of Govt are in his opinion necessary in the present case.

F

12) In this connection reference is invited to paras 3 and 4 of the G.O dated 1.3.1929 issuing instructions for disposal of Inams and cash grants (of defunct Munsiff Institutions) resumed by Govt and to item (iii) of para 1 of the G.O dated 8th May 1933 delegating the power to transfer such resumed inams and grants to the M.C, to deserving institutions.

J

13) The Inam Supdt considers that the Inam land, on resumption by Govt loses its nature as Inam and the Inam itself becomes extinct and any grant of such extinct Inam amounts to revival of the old or ~~Inam~~ Inam which means creation of a New Inam which can be done only

Serial No.

by Govt and not by the M.C in the present case. This opinion of the Supdt seems to be based on an erroneous view of the well expressed intentions of the Govt on the point. Mere resumption of an Inam does not amount to its extinction, and its regrant to another person or institution does not amount to revival. It is only when the Inam land is resumed and its Inam tenure cancelled specifically by a Govt order that the Inam ceases to exist as such and not on mere resumption only. In other words mere "resumption" does not mean "cancellation" of the Inam, as opined by the Supdt.

(4) The G.O. dated 1.3.1929 clearly lays down instructions for granting resumed cash and Inam lands of defunct Munsiffs institutions to old or new institutions with Govt sanction, and the power to transfer such grants, vested in Govt wd only delegated by them to the M.C in the G.O. dated 8.5.1933. In the above circumstances, the power delegated to the M.C would be a nullity if his order is not acted upon by the Inam Deptt whose reasoning for refusing to so act upon, does not appear to be well based, besides being opposed to the

F

J

Transfer of

Resumed Inam lands of Defunct Religious Institutions

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat Revy File No. of 1937.

Serial No.

intentions by the Govt in the matter. The Supdt has therefore to give effect to the order ~~and~~ as desired by the M.C.

15) Orders are also solicited on the point raised by the Supdt in para 2 of his letter regarding delegating him the power to issue fresh Title-Deeds to new institutions which are granted reserved inams or easty grants

16) Reference para 5 supra

17) Please speak to me 12/3/34

18 The ~~Survey~~ Supdt's interpretation of the meaning of the word 'resumed' that resumption extinguishes the Inam rights cannot be accepted. In the G.O. of March 1929 which ~~contd~~ lays down the procedure to be adopted in the case of resumed Inams of defunct Institutions it is clearly explained in para 5 (2) ~~that~~ what is to be done with lands & cash grants after resumption & until regranted to other institutions. In the face of these things it is by no means clear how the Supdt says that grants of resumed Inams amount to creation of new Inams & fresh grants. The Supdt may perhaps be right but that his interpretation is not correct. After this point is settled the other points raised by the Supdt in para 2 of pond II may be taken up for consideration.

Refd to the G.O. of March 1929

Refd with refce to Members mentioned para 5 supra

19

21/3/34

2nd Mr

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 26 MAR 1934 No. 6275

OTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Transfer of Resumed inams from Revenue to Religious Institutions

File No. 238 of 1933.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Please let me see the provn of any ~~for the~~ governing actual cancellation of inams once granted a inam

31/3

31/3

21) Kind reference is invited to paras 275 and 280 of the Revenue Manual Vol I which contains the provision relating to Resumption and Cancellation of Inams, and also to the Government orders dated 10.6.1916 and 7.1.1927. put up below.

3564 RECEIVED 3 APR 1934 REVENUE BRANCH

K.

Para 275 of the Rev Manual (Key T) is the one thing that pertains to the point at issue.

11/4/34

11/4

2nd d(v)

23

12/4

24 I agree that the word resumed' in G.O. of 1929 + 1933 does not include the extinction of the Inam but only the taking away of possession from the original Grantee. But the difficulty raised by the S.S relates to ~~Rule~~ para 312 (3) which requires Govt sanction + changes.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 12 APR. 1934 No. 6641

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 238 of 1933

Serial No.

the Title deed The real point therefore is does the recipient require any modification of the title + if so to what extent Is any fresh title deed necessary in such cases. What was being done since 1929 when Govt were sanctioning these grants. This maybe ascertd

S/02
1/4
2/8/4

25
Draft sub
Recd 26 Jul 2/26/4
26/4/34

TYPING SECTION
26 APR. 1934
REVN. & REV. SECTT.

4 1438 dated 28.4.1934
The Supdt, Revenue Survey & Settlement
Calls for information on the points referd to in the para 24 supra

Supdt
8/5/34

Letter No 209 dated 28.5.1934
From the Supdt Rev. Survey.
Furnishes information on the points referd to above

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12 10-33

Transfer of 1305

Resumed Inam lands of Defunct Bhuyhai institutions

Mug File No. of 1933.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

27. As regards the first point whether the Regional requires any modification of the title-deed and if so, to what extent, it is stated by the Supdt. S.S. that there is no question of Regional in the present case, as the grant is not to the same institution. The grant being to an altogether different institution necessarily requires a fresh title-deed in favor of the new grantee.

28. As regards the second point, whether a fresh title deed is necessary in such cases the reply is - seems to be in the affirmative.

29. As regards the third point, it is stated that transfers of unenfranchised devadaya Inams ~~[illegible]~~ when ordered by Govt are being noted in the Inam and Quit-rent Registers.

30. Submd. with reference to para 24 supra

Reed 5/6/34

(Sd. Secy.)

5/6

2nd MR

31/ Please Consult the In. C. & remarks on the S S further views

31/ R 14/6

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 15 JUNE 1934 NO. [illegible]

Inam 18/ 21/6

Serial No. 33/ Forwarded with Compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for favour of remarks on the further views of the Inam Commissioner.

By order

B Muthukrishnappa
For Financial Secy.

Bangalore
25th June 1934

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
No. 103.
25. JUN. 1934
MINISTRY BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE-BANGALORE.
Mr. No. C 303/33-34
26. JUN. 1934

303/33-34
6-7-34

It does not look as if any title deeds were issued when unfranchised Devadaya lands were transferred under the orders of Government since 1929. The Survey Department found it sufficient to make the necessary changes in the Inam and Quit Rent registers. The transfers made by the Muzrai Commissioner within the limits of the authority delegated to him have to be dealt with in precisely the same manner. The issue of title deeds (in the rare event of their being applied for) is after all ministerial duty and it is immaterial to the Survey and Inam Department whether the sanctioning authority is the Government itself or some officer exercising the powers of Government by delegation. The Inam Commissioner's order of 14th November 1877 embodied in para 312 of the Revenue Manual must be taken to have been modified by the Government Order of 8th May 1933 in so far as it relates to cases coming within the competence of the Muzrai Commissioner.

Returned with compliments.

N Mahadeva Rao
Muzrai Commissioner.

FILE SECRETARY
No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Transfer of 1301

Reserved from lands of Defunct Hungwe Institutions

File No. 238 of 1937.	General and Revenue Secretariat
	Serial No.

36) Submit for orders with reference to paras 32 - 35 supra, as to whether the views of the M.C at para 32 supra may be accepted and orders issued accordingly.

37) The M.C's views may perhaps be accepted. Refer :- Para 32 supra

12/7/34

38) 2nd Mr)

20/7.

39) I agree with the Inst. Com who is also R.C. As this is a matter concerning the Rev Survey Dept, the file may be submitted to the First Member also before issue of order.

31/7

40) 1st Mr)

3/8/34

1/8

41) Put up clean draft as revised please

1/11/8

A draft G.O. is put up.

42) Put up clean draft as revised please

1/11/8

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 AUG 1934 No. 845

4/8

5/8/34

General and Revenue Secretariat File No. 23 S of 1937.

Serial No.	
43/	A Sectional draft [illegible] is [illegible]
	DOB 11/8 [illegible] 13/8/34
	For approval 14/8/34
	Secy)
	[illegible] 16/8
6	20.8-10 [illegible]
	[illegible]
	[illegible]
	[illegible]
	[illegible]
	[illegible]
	opinion [illegible]
	Note dated 6.7.1934 [illegible]
	necessary [illegible]
	[illegible]
	Noting [illegible]
	in the [illegible]
24/8/34	

TYPING SECTION, GEN. T.T. 16. AUG. 1934

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6 34

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Mu 238-33-1

M C. 13]

No. 303/33-4
Revenue Miscellaneous

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, [illegible] 193[illegible]

2 Enclosures sent to Revenue on 29/8/34

FIN

FROM

[illegible], Esq., [illegible],
S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

9/11/33

To

[illegible]
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
[illegible] *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to [illegible] office order [illegible] dated 17th [illegible] of the [illegible] taluk was transferred for the benefit [illegible] temple at the place [illegible] under I (iii) of G.O. [illegible] and a copy of the order was sent to the Survey Superintendent [illegible] noting the transfer in the books of his office. I [illegible] point raised in his letter dated 14-8-33 stating that the Inam Department cannot undertake any alteration [illegible] Registers, without the sanction of Government, the position was explained in this office letter dated 25th August 1933 (copy enclosed). Even in spite of this explanation, the Survey Superintendent has again intimated that action cannot be taken without sanction of Government under Rule 312 (vii) of the Revenue Manual, Vol. I. As the power delegated to the Muzrai Commissioner would be a nullity if this order is not acted upon by the Inam Department, I solicit the favour of instructions in the issue. Survey Superintendent's letters 14-8-33 and 16-10-33 in original are enclosed for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.303/33-34 dated 2[illegible]-[illegible]-33 [illegible] the Superintendent, Revenue Survey [illegible]

ith reference to your letter [illegible].19/33-34 dated 14-[illegible], [illegible] state that the tenure of the devadaya inam land [illegible] angam Mutt at Chennasamudra was [illegible] land as resumed from the possession of the Tahsildar under G.O. [illegible].17[illegible]-[illegible] [illegible]-28-2 dated 1-3-1929 pending the transfer of the inam [illegible] g institution. In virtue of the power delegated under [illegible] (2 1) [illegible].1568-617/Muz,243-32-3 dated 3-5-1933 [illegible] funct institutions to other deserving institutions, [illegible] mitations the land in question was transferred [illegible] ddarameswaraswami temple at Chennasamudra. [illegible] .14054-62/R.F.227-92 dated 30-[illegible]-1933) [illegible] ler which you wish me to address Government [illegible] em modified to the extent noted [illegible]. I request [illegible] given to the order passed in this office [illegible] y 1933.

"C O P Y"

Tranlation

For uzral [illegible]

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Misc

File No. 238 -

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 849

To The Secretary Rev. Survey & Settlement

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No 757/33-34 dated 15.10.33 addressed to the M.E. in ellipse .. re: the transfer by the M.E. of the Devaraya Inam Land [illegible] by G.O. in G.O. No 1602-5/Misc 215-2 dated the 16.1.1931 ~~and in the~~ ~~grant~~ to the Sri [illegible] Swami temple at [illegible] Holalkere Taluk, and to state that as the power to transfer inams to deserving institutions has been delegated to the M.E. in G.O. No 15[illegible] Misc [illegible]

Date of issue 20/12/33

Signed by Dr. B.N. Krishna Swamiyy

by the Secy. in his order No. ~~[illegible]~~ dt 26.9.99

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

(which has been communicated to all heads of depts.)

dated 8th May 1932. ~~The~~

~~point raised by you in your letter~~

~~under acknowledgment is not quite~~

It is not ~~clear~~ understood why a separate file is required

~~clear and it is not the same whether~~

~~you seem to require Ministerial~~

~~every time such transfers are~~

~~made by the Minister~~ ~~in pursuance of~~

~~the power delegated to him under~~

~~the G.O. referred to above~~ As what is wanted by you is not also clear from your letter under acknowledgment,

I am

~~therefore~~ to request you to be

so good as to report clearly

what the point raised by you

is.

Please etc

16/12

For Pl. see

17/12

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

No. 849

Muz 238-33-2

Dated, Bangalore, 19th December 1933

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Superintendent,

Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.75/33-34 dated the 16th October 1933 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore re- the transfer by the Muzrai Commissioner of the Devadaya Inam land resumed by Government in Government Order No.1602-5/Muz. 215-30-2 dated the 16th January 1931 to the Sri Siddarameswaraswami temple at Chennasamudra, Holalkere Taluk, and to state that as the power to transfer such inams to deserving institutions has been delegated to the Muzrai Commissioner in G.O.No.1568-1617/Muz. 248-32-3 dated 8th May 1933 which has been communicated to all Heads of Departments, it is not understood why a separate Government Order is required. As, what is wanted by you is not also clear from your letter under advertence, I am to request you to be so good as to report clearly what the point raised by you is.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

Psk.

881

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY, AND SETTLEMENT,

No. 154/33-34 Muz 238-33-3

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd February 1934.

FROM

G.B.Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlement in Mysore.

To

S.Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.849/Muz. dated 19/20th December 1933, requesting to know why a separate Government order is required when the power to transfer Inam lands from one defunct institution to another deserving institution has already been delegated to the Muzrai Commissioner, I have the honor to state that, an Inam which was "resumed" under orders of Government and thus became extinct in 1931, -- (vide Government Order No.1602-5/Muz.215-30-2 dated 15/16th January 1931) has been revived by the Muzrai Commissioner in 1933 and granted to a Non-Muzrai Institution. His action thus amounts to the creation of a new Inam and its grant to a Non-Muzrai Institution.. As this appears to be ultra vires clear orders of Government are in my opinion necessary in this case.

2. Further, as corrections have to be made in the Original Inam Records and a fresh Title-Deed is to be issued in such cases consequent on the transfer of the Inam lands, sanction of Government is necessary as per para 312 (b) VII of the Mysore Revenue Manual Volume I. It seems necessary to delegate the power to issue fresh Title-Deeds to me in such cases.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G.B.Heblikar
Superintendent.

BKS/

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

4(

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 28/4/34

Signed by B. Muddukrishna

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No. ~~1438~~

Serial No. 238-34

4

No. 1438

To

Supt. Rev. Survey & Settlements
The Inam ... in Mysore

Sir,

In the reference to ~~the correspondence~~ para 2 ~~ending with~~ of your letter No. 154/33-34 & 3.2.1934 ~~in this regard~~ regarding a separate f.o. regarding the transfer of inam lands ~~from~~ of a defunct institution to another deserving institution although the power to transfer such inam lands has been delegated to the Mr ~~I am directed to state~~ that the word 'resumed' occurring in f.o.s No. 1769-72/Rev. 49-28-2 & 1.3.1927 & No. 1602-5/Rev-215-30-2 & 16.1.1931 does not include the extinction of the inams but only the taking over

WD 0677 —G PB —15,000 —5-11-33

~~of portion from the original grantee.~~

~~In this connection & with special reference to para 2 of the you letter under advertence~~, I am ~~to~~ requested you to furnish detailed information on the following points:—

(i) Does the regrant regime any modification of the title deed & if so to what extent

(ii) Is any fresh title deed necessary in such cases

(iii) What was being done in this respect since 1929 when Govt. were sanctioning the grants.

Jhave R
8/26/4
For H. Lees

Memo no 1439/P 28/4/34
Copy of the above is forwarded with compts to the R.C. in the reference to his letter No. 303/33-34 of 6.11.1933.

B. D.
8/26/4
for RC Ig

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 27 - 4 - 1934.
28 -

No. 1438
MUS 238-33-4

From S. Shamanna, Esquire, B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To
G.B. Heblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of your letter No. 154/33-34 dated the 3rd February 1934 requesting a separate Government Order regarding the transfer of inam lands of a defunct institution to another deserving institution although the power to transfer such inam lands has been delegated to the Inam Commissioner, I am directed to request you to furnish detailed information on the following points:-

(i) Does the re-grant require any modification of the title-deed and if so to what extent,

(ii) Is any fresh title-deed necessary in such cases,

(iii) What was being done in this respect since 1920 when Government were sanctioning the grants.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department

P.H.

Memo No. 1439/MUS dated 28/4/34

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the

the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with reference to his letter No. 303/33-34 dated the 6th November 1933.

By order,

Financial Secretary to Government.

22

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY, AND SETTLEMENT,

No. 209
33-34

GENL & REV SECS 1308 30 MAY 1934

Dated, Bangalore, 25th May 1934.
28

FROM

G. B. Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlement in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1438/Muz.38-33-4 dated 28th April 1934 requesting me to furnish detailed information on certain points with regard to the transfer of grants of one defunct Muzrai Institution to another deserving one, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(1) As regards the first point I beg to state that the Inam having been resumed by Government there is no question of regrant in this case as the grant is not to the same institution. The grant in question is a fresh grant to an altogether different institution. A fresh grant necessarily requires a fresh title-deed in favour of the new grantee.

(ii) As regards point 2 the answer is in the affirmative.

(iii) As regards point 3 it may be stated that transfers of unenfranchised Devadaya Inams when ordered by Government are being noted in the Inam and Quit-rent Registers.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G B Heblikar
Superintendent.

BKS/2.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mog

File No. 238-33

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue .....20.....8..... 1934

Sd/- B. Muddukrishnappa

Signed by .....

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Read—

G.O. No 1769-72 Mung [illegible] dated 1.3.1929 issuing rules regarding the consumption in lieu of defined kind of restrictions and granting the same to deserving [illegible]

2/ Para I(iii) of G.O. No 1562-111, Mung 248-32-3 dated 8.5.1933 delegating to the M.C the power of transferring trees and [illegible] grants of defined kind [illegible] to other deserving [illegible] subject to [illegible]

3/ letter No 30-32-3 [illegible] 6.11.1933 from the M.C.

Order thereon.—No

24

order stating that the reserved
income tax by the informed
Tamgam Mutation Examination
in the Hazaribagh Estate was
transferred by him in his office
order dated 17.7.1931 to the
Sri Siddeswarnath Biswas Sample
at the place under the powers
transferred to him in the G.O.
Board above and soliciting
(3) instructions in the matter
the Supdt. Rev. Survey & Settlement
to make the necessary
in the Settlement Register,
without the sanction of Govt.
4) Correspondence ending with
letter No 209 dated 28.5.1934 from
the Supdt. Rev. Survey & Settlement
in office on the above subject.
5) G.O. note No 303/32-34
dated 6.7.1934 from the Hon'ble
in office on the same subject

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order 208-10.
20-8-34

Both the M.C and the Director of Land Records, in their statements have stated that no title deeds have been issued since the when unauthorised sales took place. Cultivators dispossessed in the order of Govt. in last the transfers have been made in the Swam and Quilon registries. The M.C opines that the changes made by him within the limit of the authority delegated to him have to be dealt with in precisely the same manner. Govt are pleased to approve with the M.C. make necessary rectification by the Director, and Director in the meantime, cancel the transfer in the Swam and Quilon Registries

WD 0255—GPR—10,000—23-8-32

1578

1410